

# Marlborough Marine Futures Second Stakeholder Workshop 3 May 2015 Picton

Notes range from verbatim records to overview notes of comments or process. A summary is provided up front with more detail behind for those who want it. All notes remain in draft as they have not been checked with all present for content/accuracy.

## 1 SUMMARY

---

### Overview:

- 100% support for the need for the process.
- A strong mandate for the Trust to continue to lead.
- Support for the way structure and role clarity is developing.
- That the role of central government needs to be sorted out.
- Good progress towards formation of a mandated voice for stakeholders.
- Emerging stakeholder and citizen ownership of process.

The next step is Trustees to come back to stakeholders with revised structure and next steps of the process.

### Summary:

A productive and positive day - stronger clarity, commitment and sense of stakeholder ownership process. Strong agreement that this process is needed (100% agreement from those present). There is a strong awareness that the formation process is critical to the success or otherwise of the project and people seemed to end the day with an increased sense of confidence that a group and process are emerging that are appropriate for this context.

42 strong, well informed folks came along with fairly broad sector representation (a further 14 apologies were recorded). Aquaculture was not in the room although we had apologies and expressions of interest in being on the SWG from Mark Preece and Mark Gillard. Forestry, farming and tourism were still light - individuals with interests in these sectors were present but further discussion with sector associations is required.

Trustees are continuing to work with stakeholders to ensure that the Trust's role is understood - they are committed to "joining the dots and making sure gaps are filled" to provide a better future for the Marlborough marine space and communities. They will not have veto of content decisions but will be responsible for providing process.

Time was spent on the make-up of the governance group. Was not clear agreement on the role of central government at governance level - there is general recognition of resource needs and that everyone needs to be at the table to get outcomes that will work but also some caution about how to strike the right balance between central government and local drivers. The dual role of Council was acknowledged (partner/funder + technical provider).

General agreement that is critical in terms of joining the dots, efficiency and achieving workable strategic outcomes to have some senior level input and to have everyone around a table - the precise roles and relationships can be worked out together and contained in terms of reference. Some people noted that a number of collaborative processes have demonstrated that if central government aren't at the table, the outcomes achieved may not be optimal or able to be implemented.

Clarity achieved concerning which stakeholder groups need representation. Emerging ownership of the process and recognition that the SWG will be driving itself and will need to collaborate (including seeking understanding and agreement beyond sector interests). Recommendations made by each group about how and who should represent that interest on the SWG. Current level of knowledge and trust amongst overall group results in recommendations that 3-4 people be put forward for each stakeholder group.

The current Trustees received strong mandate and support from the group to continue setting MMF up. Further potential Trustees were identified towards the end of the day.

## 2 WELCOME

---

Mihi and karakia: Raymond Smith

Welcome: Eric Jorgensen, MSIMT Chair:

Spoke commitment to the place, the community and its future. Repeated the commitment of the Trust to engage while not constraining the outcomes. *“There is a need for joining the dots and filling some gaps - local and stakeholder leadership may be required to get agencies on board. If we leave it to them it won't happen.”*

The core message from the Trustees *“we are here for the long haul. We will keep engaging and encouraging something strong to emerge. We acknowledge that agencies and stakeholders are getting their heads round what is possible and will keep working with you to get the best possible result for our community.”*

Set up for the day: Pete Lawless, Facilitator

The core messages:

- The leadership of each and everyone of you is important to the future of Marlborough marine environment.
- Working together and sorting out aligned Strategy will benefit everyone.
- We need dialogue rather than debate at this stage.
- Connecting everyone to a shared higher purpose where values align is the critical thing.
- Getting the right people around the right tables is the task of the moment and you are all responsible for making this happen.

*“The purpose of the workshop is to provide a sound base for community and stakeholders to become leaders in the management of your marine environment. Role as facilitator for the day and for the process as a whole to enable you to get as far as you can with that purpose. I hold a brief both for the day and to support you in the overall process. Giving information based on experience here and elsewhere and also just facilitating your process for the day. Sole reason for being here though is to help the leadership needed in this region to continue to emerge. We will have a look at the current situation and get a feel for what is on offer. In this I will be encouraging dialogue where you can hear the voices of everyone present. We will be working on the basis that everyone has something of importance to contribute.*

*Then we will make sure everyone has a shared view of the bigger picture, and a feeling for the how the parts could fit together. Notice each person and get a sense of how they can best contribute in the future. As we get into the afternoon you will be in the hot seat working to get the best possible team in governance and in generating solutions to shared issues.*

*Distinguishing between dialogue and debate - be curious - necessary to develop shared understandings. Capacity to work together for a shared future ...”*

### 3 INTRODUCTIONS

---

People created a geographical map of where they came from before introducing themselves to the group.

Apologies:

- Mark Preece
- Debs Martin
- Andrew King
- Don Miller
- Michael Connolly
- Rob Schuckard
- Carol Scott
- Clare Pinder
- Andy Cockroot
- Mark Gillard
- Linda Booth
- Peter Jerram
- Glenice Paine
- Butch Bradley
- Beryl Archer

Roll call:

[Sorry - didn't get everybody's names on this round - please fill in gaps so notes can be finalised]

Raymond Smith	Trustee, Ngati Kuia, landowner Port Gore
Eric Jorgensen	Trustee, farm at Port Underwood, quota holder, Trustee restoration trust and national whale centre. <i>Have seen in a relatively short time the resources that were here are not there now - the resource is for the people and all the people - we need to work out how to make all that fit and ensure that the eco-systems can function.</i>
Roy Grose	Trustee, DOC, local for 25 years. <i>Seen over the last two decades the resources with a lot of competing interests - one of the great things I've seen in Marlborough is collaboration - there is a unique opportunity here for us to address sustainability in Marlborough.</i>
Larncce	Trustee, commercial lobster Chair Cramac5 and director of NZ Rock Lobster Council, Chair Te Korowai. <i>Seen an incredible process in Kaikoura achieve things I wouldn't have thought possible.</i>
Poneke Rene	D'Urville Island Residents Association but I don't have a mandate to talk on their behalf today, Ngati Toa iwi, Sounds Advisory Group
John Reid	Scallop Enhancement Co. Challenger Group Area 7. <i>Long history with wet fish/fin fish. Hopefully a better process for the future to manage the area.</i>
Graeme Taylor	Local resident and fisherman, quota owner cray and wet fish, recreational fishing.
Nadine Taylor	Commercial crayfish owner- contractor for commercial paua and for Ngati Apa. Previously Sounds Fish. <i>Interested in what we are trying to achieve here. Teenage boys who are</i>

- keen recreational fishers and keen to become commercial fishers so I'm interested for the long term.*
- Storm Stanley *Commercial fisheries. I like this way of doing things. We are all part of the community - we share the same water space and the same problems and would like to be part of the solution. Here to help in any way I can to make this work.*
- Dave Scranney *MPI. Really enjoy working towards solutions with the community.*
- Mark [?] *NZ Sports Fishing Council. Here to listen, learn where you're at - Hauraki very undemocratic process ...*
- Katie McNabb *Conservation Board. My interests particularly around on the water as well as under the water.*
- Dave Hayes *Regional DOC local level representing NZ public as landowners, national area function through input into the MPA review. I've seen the power of community based processes - been involved in Te Korowai: this is the best vehicle available for trying to solve the issues that face us at the moment.*
- Dave Taylor[?] *Co-opted on Moetapu Bay Ratepayers association to look at commercial fishing. Came to conclusion that everybody in the Sounds wants to ban commercial set net fishing. My family put out 17 nets for 2 flounder. We had 2 boats working for 10 days - 70 km of net in 10 days in Mahau Sound. My task - Follow this through and make sure there are fish left in Mahau Sound for our residents.*
- [Rob Mounsey?] *Background of 50 years of visiting and living in the Marlborough Sounds and bach-wise. Last 15 years involved in hydro-seeding [...] North Island - witnessed what is happening to estuaries and seedbeds close to development - see the same thing happening in this area. My focus is to name the elephant in the room - sediment control.*
- Bev Doole *Sounds Restoration Trust, Conservation Board, Rarangi resident. Importance of listening to the community and making sure that gets out more broadly to everyone involved. Community communications including with MDC - this all pulls looking after where we live and looking after each other - a lot of work to do to work together rather than against each other. Probably the best show we've got for Marlborough - if we can all get it together.*
- Ian Mitchell *Catchment Board, previous MDC Councilor and Chair of Planning Committee. Helped set up SoundsFish - operated for a few years but didn't really get off the ground because we couldn't work together to achieve collective interests. I'm only here because I'm interested in the Sounds. We had more cooperation in those days than now - we couldn't get some of the government organisations to cooperate then and seem to have the same problem now.*
- Des Boyce: *Long family connection. Since a child - can see the differences from those days to now. Involved with*

	<i>Fisheries, Sounds Fish, Long Island Marine Reserve, rec fishers &amp; Pelorus Boating Club - here as an individual.</i>
John Leader	<i>Interested in the population dynamics of plankton.</i>
Jim Sinner	<i>Cawthron, observer. We've found that the way a group is formed is really important - interested in how you guys are going and can distill some good practice.</i>
David Millar	<i>Recreational fishing rep - Marl anglers and surf club, clubs of Marlborough, association with Marl rec fishers and Blenheim ratepayers. Number of attempts through the years - brochures produced are the best attempt today - hope the intention of this is not just a sop for a consultation process but a body that really represents local input substantively. Some activities high and low - various impacts - viewed many changes.</i>
Hugh Shields	<i>Coalition of Combined Clubs of Wellington. Rec fish voice on blue cod management group - involved in blue cod since 2008 when fishery closed - no-take zones, Leigh first marine reserve - Fiordland, Te Korowai - traditional management practices are not sufficient to avoid depletion - we need another tool - protected areas are a way to achieve this.</i>
Dale Ashworth	<i>Community focused person. Seaport Scene newsletter reports on good news. Cape Jackson, local, owned a dive shop, taught diving here prefer to spend more time under the water than on top of it - my main concern is the substrate on bottom how badly that has been attacked and the effect on that on marine life. Bachelor with major on marine sciences. Trying to get community working together - we don't need another group - just one - hopefully this is it.</i>
Janette Walker	<i>Landuse - farmer, farm-forester. Sounds is the marine highway into the Marlborough region - very important to make sure it is in the best condition possible. It is a unique place and needs good management and governance.</i>
Herb Thompson [?]	<i>Flaxbourne Settlers Association representative. Piqued my interests in what goes on in the coastal zone.</i>
Joop Jansen	<i>Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents association. Local so interested in the area - interested in limiting mussel farm spread and getting salmon out of the Sounds.</i>
Jeremy Cooper	<i>Tennyson Boating club, Chair island restoration project in Tennyson. Man-made environmental effects that are ruining the sounds - hopefully we'll come out on top of that.</i>
Barry Chandler	<i>Comm Chair PauaMac7, forestry, fishing and diving. My kids have seen a lot of change even in their time. We have to be proactive - we have to have a willingness to give, to make sacrifices for the future of the Marlborough Sounds.</i>
Pat Reid	<i>Nelson, rec fisher, exec officer of Area 2 offshore finfish company (East Coast North Island) social scientist. Interested in process and noting the huge range of interests.</i>

Nick Roberts	Our Fishing Future, collaborative approach to launch owner, representing Pelorus Boat Club.
Chris Fishburn	local, 4 <sup>th</sup> gen comm fisherman, spear fisherman, contract diver and boat master. <i>Interested in this resource being looked after.</i>
Jo Healy	<i>Told my kids I wasn't going to be with them today because I want them to have a productive and enjoyable environment for them to grow up in.</i>
Andrew Johns	DSIR scientist, in recent years taught in local schools. <i>Main interest in environment and environmental education - spend a lot of time in primary school through to high school - can't leave the education out of this process. Involved in Forest &amp; Bird but not representing them today. Kaipupu Point main focus for now - community driven project - can already see the benefits.</i>
John Davison	Port Underwood Association[?]
Ken Rouch	Port Underwood Assoc, Sounds Advisory Group. <i>Over the years I've seen changes in the sounds both good and bad - I'd just like to see future changes be all for the good.</i>
David Oddie	MDC Councillor
Ross Withall	involved previously with Fed Farmers etc. Pres of Kenepuru and Central Sounds association - 200+ members farming forestry bach owners local people. <i>Big challenge to try to get some cooperation between all these people.</i>
[?]	Rec fishing association. Previously Town and Country planner. <i>45 years as rec fisherman and seen many changes - also conservation interests, alarmed at some land use - part forestry clear felling and the sedimentation that results. Interested in process - not impressed with Hauraki - transparency important.</i>
Stephan Browning	Green MP. <i>What's really going to work here in the long term?</i>

Ian Birchall

A further 3 or 4 people joined after the introduction round.

### Recap of where we've got to so far

In addition to recapping the social process since last workshop, the documents sent out by email since 8 March workshop up on the walls including:

- Notes from last workshop
- Vision work done by stakeholders at last workshop
- Stakeholder maps developed at last workshop
- Draft scope document - what is in and what is out<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> The core purpose of MMF is to achieve the vision of a rich and healthy Marlborough marine environment through:

- Draft terms of reference for Stakeholder Working Group

**Main points:**

- The work done on the 8<sup>th</sup> was captured and is being utilised;
- Documents put out to reflect previous discussions and to generate further clarity;
- All documents remain in draft until the steering group and SWG are formed and can finalise things;
- Working vision from last workshop ““A rich and healthy marine environment that meets the economic, recreational, social and cultural requirements of our community.”

**4 GETTING CLEAR ON GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE**

---

Peter Lawless: *All have pieces of the process - democratic, transparent, effective? - can you all get it together and work together in a coherent structure. Need a group that will have mandate and authority. Building a shared understanding of what might be created - facilitated via group discussion, chairs and role plays.*

Agreed to start with Governance:

Trustees introduced themselves and their understandings of what process offers:

Larncé Wichman *Governance is about the community - a lot of vested interests. Opportunity to collaborate together - join the dots - you are in the process you are here it is happening - enable you each to have a voice in our future - your engagement is required to make that process work on your behalf. You’ll see in the future if you do engage, that things flow on and are created - not only because of your individual input and everybody elses’.*

- 
- Community leadership and responsibility, increasing local engagement, understanding and opportunity to make good decisions;
  - Joining dots and filling gaps: To provide an integration in relation to everything that affects the Marlborough marine area.

Principles to guide scope:

Big picture, future focused:	MMF serves an integrative function through keeping its gaze on the big picture/vision and acting as required to achieve that. Enables best decisions to be made for the future without distractions of the ‘issue of the day’.
No duplication:	MMF is to ‘join the dots’ and ‘add value’ not to repeat, undermine, or confuse other processes or operational matters. Where there are gaps or processes missing, MMF will act to have it filled.
Value add:	Engage only where doing so will result in enhanced outcomes for the Marlborough marine area.
Results oriented:	MMF is committed to ‘doing what works’ and to achieving results that matter in timeframes that enable both inclusion and traction.

- John Hellstrom *It's not about my view - it's about your/our views - about providing a process where everyone can be heard - it is not about what we [the Trustees] want to achieve but about what the community wants to achieve.*
- Roy Grose *Seen a lot of people take positions - it doesn't work - everyone has a say that is a clear and transparent process - if it is seen to be led by a particular sector we'll get nowhere. The role of governance is to make sure that can happen.*
- Eric Jorgensen *Realities of governance side of things for the future - contact with the other aspects - it's a top down and bottom up thing at the same time - governance group provides mandate for the SWG - without that mandate you cannot be confident that any of the solutions that emerge can be implemented. Mandate also has to come from community. GG has important role in providing the mandate - here's the tool etc. - provide a frame. Governance group responsible for finding the money and the resources to make this happen.*
- Raymond Smith *Commitment to seeing this initial stuff through. Getting the funding very important - funders need to see commitment and professionalism and that all are held to account - now and into future. To make sure that there is a mixture of skills within there and my role is to bring some kind of cultural component and iwi input in a post-settlement context.*

#### Questions or comments for Trustees:

[incomplete record of group discussion ...]

- Dale: *How does the governance role work in with council and with legislation - note what was said at last workshop?*
- PL: *Council would be on Governance.*
- Roy: *Has to go hand in glove - collaborative in approach and complement plans and processes.*
- Eric: *Our view is that the major decision making bodies need a seat on the governance group so that we are using the collective resources of all the agencies and communities in an efficient way.*
- Larnc: *Expert advisory group also strong interaction and integration.*
- David Oddie: *Without agency engagement risk of a lot of work being put in without ability to implement outcomes.*
- Steffan Browning: *Governance group role management not governance. The group should be working out what is needed and getting an executive management group to do our community's bidding.*
- Storm: *Governance is sounding more like facilitation - do everything in their power to make a good process and ... mandate. Cawthron NIWA will be research providers - will*

*be accepting funding and not right that they should set funding priorities.*

- Jim Sinner: Cawthron *Agree.*
- Des Boyce: *Agree some separation between the Trust and the working group and some reporting lines. Trust facilitated to get this process moving - once we have a working group set up - what is the role of trustees - some form of line of separation to avoid any conflict e.g. Trustees should not be on the other groups. Steering Group - could go separately into the advisory group. Strong conflict for MPI as well.*
- Dave Scranney: *MPI, endorse what Des is saying. As an official you're better placed in an advice role - many of the priorities sit with Ministers. Officials' role is to advise up and down.*
- Janette Walker: *Put MPI, DOC and Cawthron in expert advisory role.*
- Ian Millar: *Council has dual roles - money role and expert/tech role - different people from the same organization.*
- Bev Doole: *Council multiple roles - including being voice of the people. An avuncular role - bigger than representing their particular affiliations.*
- Nadine Taylor: *Can independent researchers be engaged or only these people? How would we get the information we need to support the questions/decisions we're engaged in?*
- Trustees: *Confirm there will be access to independent advice.*
- Storm: *Environmental groups at last meeting concerned about capture of scientific advice.*
- Ian and Des: *Governance control resources - decisions on expenditure would go through them.*
- Larnc: *[?]*
- Jim Sinner: *Priority setting should come from SWG. Governance group may be saying we have \$200,000 in the next two years ... SWG then needs to make the prioritisation decisions.*
- Group agreed: *Terms of reference for governance group required.*
- Steffan: *Major flow from steering group to coordination group carries on down to SWG where is the feedback there? Should be the other way around and arrows in both directions.*
- Hugh Shields: *Add in Saltwater Research and Davidson Environmental; MPI single species management not stakeholder and not spatially oriented.*
- Dave Scranney: *Spatial stuff - EPA/EEZ we don't have legislation for integrated coastal planning. Only one in the world - England - the rest of the world is waiting to find out.*
- Hugh Shields: *Levels of protection offered from Canada - a spatial management strategy.*
- Dave Scranney: *Get problem well defined before developing solutions.*
- Dave Hayes: *Comes down to what the role of the governance group is. Agencies having to maintain a role of advising Ministers and having to operate within legislative parameters and not being able to commit resources. Central Government value to stakeholder working group and expert advisory group. If*

*it's about lobby and bring resources to the table from their respective organisations - creates a bit of tension with their public service role, if more about providing day to day direction/forming project we're on safer ground.*

[Missed some sorry.]

Hugh Shields

*The representivity, credibility based on experience and integrity of existing Trust acknowledged.*

Group agreed.

Nadine:

*SWG parameters need to be set by governance group - concerned that SWG TOR focus on spatial management strategy.*

Eric:

*Draft only - chicken and egg - can only be confirmed and finalised once groups established. They mandate each other - we need to get bums on sets with direct input from people who represent the community - we're all learning as we go. Will ultimately be finalised and approved by Trustees after highly collaborative process - is all about conversations and continually narrowing in.*

Peter:

*Seems to be general recognition and agreement that:*

- The existing Trustees are strongly supported but we'll do some more work on this after lunch;*
- There is a need for clarity about central government interaction with process;*
- Council has dual roles (representivity & funder + expert tech provider) and should be in structure in multiple places;*
- Details need to be worked out in terms of reference for the steering group.*

*Questions for lunch discussion:*

- do any existing Trustees move into different role?*
- Is anyone missing?*

## 5 STRUCTURE

---

Nadine:

*Draft TOR states if decision making can't be achieved by consensus decision will be made by Governance - is that appropriate.*

Larnc:

*Trustees have a constitution and can only act within that.*

Peter:

*Description of Te Korowai strategy, submissions and response process. Was made available to all - consensus achieved on most things - where consensus couldn't be reached there needed to be room for them to not block outcomes they could recognise as necessary for the process to move forward whilst not having the mandate/ability to agree on specific issues - enabled some positions to be reserved relating to subsequent processes.*

Nadine:

*Show of hands. If you're in support of the Te Korowai model raise hands.*

Approx half hands raised

Half a dozen against

Barry: *Neutral - is there a better option out there.*

Peter: *Factual differences between Te Korowai and Marlborough include 100 km coast compared with thousands, 1 iwi compared with 8, different industry mixes, different ecological issues. Whilst that model provides some useful ideas, Marlborough will need something different.*

*Group collectively provided feedback on structure model (distributed via email as draft in between workshops) including:*

- *Turn it upside down - we want it clear that this is a community led process;*
- *Refine relationship of 'coordination team' and rename;*
- *Ensure information and support arrows go in both directions between entities;*
- *Clarify decision making/information flows.*

**Action: Trust to provide redraft of structure diagram to stakeholders.**

## **6 EXPERT ADVISORY GROUP**

---

Some discussion about make up, prioritisation and communication flows. General agreement that would be good to have DOC, MPI, Cawthron and NIWA strongly involved and that Council leadership of this would be useful. Interests in independent science being accessible and valued were raised. Discussion of how EAG liaises with SWG and with steering group - iterative process.

## **7 STAKEHOLDER WORKING GROUP FORMATION**

---

Group discussion not recorded verbatim

Meeting identified the following stakeholder groups as requiring representation:

- Iwi (must be representative of iwi, hapu, whanau)
- Communities
- Commercial fishing
- Tourism
- Aquaculture
- Environment
- Conservation
- Recreational fishing
- Land use
- Recreational users

General agreements that:

1. Every interest should have same number of seats and that initially that number should be 3-4 per interest group.
2. There need to be enough seats to provide continuity and to do the job.
3. Full representivity - all interests represented either by direct representation or confidence in the representation.
4. Enough balance to have credibility when complete - if a party was so significant that it could block the outcomes at the end then they must be included in the formation.

5. Some mention of the needs for sustainability and equity including possible options of meeting fees being paid.
6. Suggestions of larger group in early stages until people gain trust in the whole process.

Processes for each stakeholder group to confirm their representatives were put forward and will be progressed by the Trust.

Overview: Good progress made but further work still required

## 8 TRUST MEMBERSHIP AND MANDATE

---

Sociograms taken:

- 100% agreement that there is a need for an integrative collaborative process.
- 100% mandate for the Trust to continue to lead as it is.
- 95% agreement with the current make-up of the Trust.

Some discussion was had about the make-up of the Trust. Generally very strong support and recognition of the existing Trustee's track records, range of interests & integrity in being able to hold the bigger picture view rather than their own interests. Some comment that gender balance could be improved. Indicative process run to gauge interest of others in becoming Trustees and support for changes ... Janette Walker and Dale were put forward, Carol Scott and Peter Jerram have also expressed interest.

Process unable to be completed on the day due to time constraints and the need for clearer process.

**Action:** Trustees to get back to stakeholders with suggestion for next part of this process.

## 9 NEXT STEPS

---

- Trust mandated by group to make strong representations to central and regional government on the need for the process and to continue their work in getting it rolling.
- Trust will report back to wider network on workshop.
- Trust will consider the issues and ideas raised through this workshop and communicate with stakeholders about Trustee process, structure, steering group make up and roles, process for developing SWG further.

## 10 CONCLUSIONS

---

John Hellstrom summary:

- *Appreciate the support and acknowledgement provided to the Trust by the group today.*
- *Strong participation and turn out.*

- *Recognition of the need to turn the structure upside down - looks a little more like what we need.*
- *A lot of work to do on teasing out roles but gained a lot of clarity from the day, relationships between working group and trust needs to be sorted out still but some good ideas from the day.*
- *People seem to be understanding that the Trust is not a governing group but more a facilitation/coordination role not a gatekeeper role - we want to do what we can to keep the process going - whatever the outcomes will be, we'll be happy with.*
- *SWG draft terms of reference are a work in progress and will not be finalised until the group is formed - negotiation to be had between the Trust and the SWG but essentially it is the document of the working group.*
- *Starting to get clear on how the SWG can be formed - Trust needs to think about the ideas put forward and get back to everyone.*
- *Clear that some sectors not yet engaging with this process - we need to work on this. I also note that if we continue to gain momentum and get success they'll want to come on board - that's where we want to get to.*
- *Good quality of participation - people getting to know each other and trust is building - people are saying what they think, and that is great.*
- *A good day.*

Raymond Smith closing: *Thank you for giving up your Sunday - for investing it in the future.*

*Toitu te whenua, whatungarongaro te tangata - people come and go but the land remains - let's leave it better than we found it.*

[Ends]